Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/atmosenv

Micrometeorological measurements of nitrous oxide exchange in a cropland

Jun Li^{a,*}, Xiaojuan Tong^b, Qiang Yu^a, Yunshe Dong^a, Changhui Peng^c

^a Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China ^b The Key Laboratory for Silviculture and Conservation of Ministry of Education, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China ^c Institut des Sciences de L'Environnement, Département des Sciences Biologiques, Université du Quebec à Montréal, Montréal, QC H3C 3P8, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 January 2008 Received in revised form 4 April 2008 Accepted 7 April 2008

Keywords: N₂O flux N₂O concentration gradient Available N₂O concentration difference Aerodynamic method Bowen ratio/energy balance method Energy balance ratio Winter wheat Summer maize

ABSTRACT

N₂O fluxes in a wheat/maize rotation system were measured using flux gradient methods combined with gas chromatograph (GC) technique. The mean precision of two repeated GC analyses for N_2O concentration achieved to 0.27–0.46 ppbv, which could resolve N_2O concentration differences in a low range of 0.39-0.65 ppbv. To maximize measurable N2O concentration differences, gradient measurements were conducted only after fertilization or under low wind conditions. During observation period, N₂O flux ranged from -4.41to 4.84 mgN₂O m⁻² h⁻¹ for maize field, and from -2.82 to 3.59 mgN₂O m⁻² h⁻¹ for wheat field. When gradient observation changed from two layers to four layers, the temporal variation of N₂O flux reduced but the mean value changed less. Many negative N₂O fluxes were found in maize and wheat fields even after fertilization. Nearly all of them were caused by negative N2O concentration differences. During four days' observation in maize field, a mean N_2O flux of -0.75 mgN₂O m⁻² h⁻¹ was found in the daytime and could not be simply attributed to the temporal variation of N2O flux. N2O flux determined by the aerodynamic method (F_a) and the Bowen ratio/energy balance method (F_b) were in a good agreement and statistically significant. The ratio of F_a to F_b increased linearly with energy balance ratio (EBR) obtained by the aerodynamic method in the daytime when EBR is larger than 0.3. It is the first time to give a quantitative description for the impact of energy closure on N₂O flux, and show a possible way to improve the data quality under the condition of poor energy balance.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a radiatively active trace gas. It not only contributes to global warming but also affects the natural concentration of stratospheric ozone (Crutzen, 1970). Current mixing ratios of N₂O in the atmosphere are around 316 ppbv (parts in 10^9 by volume) and increasing at a rate of 0.2–0.3% per year (IPCC, 2001). Atmospheric N₂O is mainly generated by nitrification and denitrification of microbes in the soil and consumed by photolysis in the stratosphere (IPCC, 2001). The difference between known N₂O sources (17.7 TgN yr⁻¹) and sinks (12.3 TgN yr⁻¹) is higher than the known increase of N₂O concentration in the atmosphere (3.9 TgN yr⁻¹) (IPCC, 2001). For accurate estimation of N₂O photolysis in stratosphere, the large gap of global N₂O budget (1.5 TgN yr⁻¹) may come from overestimation of N₂O source strength or neglect of some unknown N₂O sinks in the earth's surface. Although there are many uncertainties in the study of N₂O budget, it is evident that human activities improved the unbalance of N₂O source and sink, which result in the increase of atmospheric N₂O. To supply food for the

^{*} Corresponding author. Luancheng Agro-Ecosystem Experimental Station, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 11A Datun Road, Beijing 100101, China. Tel.: +86 10 64888951; fax: +86 10 64851844.

E-mail address: lijun@igsnrr.ac.cn (J. Li).

^{1352-2310/\$ –} see front matter \odot 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.04.040

growing population, farmers had to apply more and more nitrogen fertilizers to attain high crop productivity. This makes arable soil become the largest anthropogenic source of N₂O (IPCC, 2001). It is significant to determine the contribution of agricultural activity to the atmospheric N₂O.

N₂O flux is generally observed by micrometeorological and chamber methods. Close chambers are easy to operate and widely used in current N₂O flux measurements. However, chambers could only cover a small area $(10^{-2}-10 \text{ m}^2)$ and always alter the physical status of the observed surface (Mosier and Heinemeyer, 1985). The accuracy and representative of chamber-based measurements are limited by the high spatial variability of soil N₂O emission (Ambus and Christensen, 1994). Micrometeorological techniques could be used to measure the flux at a large scale $(10^2 - 10^4 \text{ m}^2)$ and never change the physical condition of observed surface. Since 1990s, with the improvement of the instrument precision and response rate, micrometeorological methods such as eddy covariance, aerodynamic and conditional sampling techniques were successfully used to measure N₂O flux in cropland (Fowler et al., 1995; Hargreaves et al., 1996; Griffith and Galle, 2000; Ding et al., 2004; Pattey et al., 2006), grassland (Hargreaves et al., 1994; Wienhold et al., 1994, 1995; Maggiotto and Wagner-Riddle, 2001; Griffith et al., 2002; Phillips et al., 2007) and forest (Simpson et al., 1997, 1999; Pihlatie et al., 2005). Comparisons among different observation methods and instruments were also carried out in croplands and grasslands (Smith et al., 1994; Christensen et al., 1996; Laville et al., 1999; Li et al., 2002; Pihlatie et al., 2005).

In 1990s, only few negative N₂O fluxes were obtained by micrometeorological approaches (Wagner-Riddle et al., 1996; Skiba et al., 1996; Simpson et al., 1997). Recently, with the development of observation techniques, more and more negative N₂O fluxes were observed (Maggiotto and Wagner-Riddle, 2001; Griffith et al., 2002; Di Marco et al., 2004). Neftel et al. (2007) found a large amount of negative (downward) N₂O flux in grassland using both of the eddy covariance technique and the chamber method, and regarded it as a consequence of soil absorption. However, negative N₂O flux appeared not only under background conditions (Di Marco et al., 2004; Neftel et al., 2007) but also after fertilization (Skiba et al., 1996). The origin of observed negative N2O fluxes should be investigated carefully because it links with the pattern of terrestrial N₂O sinks and further, the imbalance of global N₂O. On the other hand, energy closure is widely accepted as a method to estimate the availability of eddy fluxes of water vapor and CO₂ (Verma et al., 1986; Mahrt, 1998). The method could be used in N₂O flux measurements also (Wienhold et al., 1995). However, Lacking quantitative analysis of the impact of energy closure on gas flux, energy balance ratio (EBR) is only a reference in the evaluation of data quality and rarely used in the data correction.

In this study, we measured N₂O flux in a wheat/maize rotation system using aerodynamic and Bowen ratio/ energy balance (BREB) method combined with gas chromatograph (GC) analysis. The objectives are (1) to compare N₂O fluxes between different meteorological methods; (2) to investigate the origin of negative N_2O flux; (3) to research the effect of energy closure on N_2O flux. It is the first time to describe the impact of energy closure on N_2O flux quantitatively. The result may help improve data quality under the condition of energy imbalance.

2. Material and methods

2.1. The experimental site

The experimental site was a large area of even crop field at Luancheng Agro-ecosystem Experimental Station (37°53'N, 114°41'E, 50.1 m elev.), Chinese Academy of Sciences. The station is located in North China Plain within the east monsoon region. It has a semi-humid and warm temperate climate. The soil at the site is typical brown soil with thin humus layer and middle or thick solum, with an organic matter of 12–13 g kg⁻¹ and total nitrogen of 0.78 g kg⁻¹. The planting system is summer maize or winter wheat for a rotation in a year. 148 and 172.5 kgN ha^{-1} of urea was applied to summer maize field on July 21, 1995 and July 13, 1997, separately. 109 and 138 kgN ha⁻¹ of urea was applied to winter wheat field on October 2, 1995 and October 5, 1997, respectively. After fertilization, the wheat field was plough up and the maize field was irrigated with 60 mm of water immediately.

2.2. Micrometeorological measurements

From 1995 to 1997, six observations were done to measure N₂O flux using the aerodynamic and BREB method in a wheat/maize rotation system. Each observation lasted for several days or a week. Gradients of temperature and humidity were determined by the Bowen ratio instrumentation. It was consisted of two psychrometers attached to a mast in the center of a large even crop field. Near the Bowen ratio apparatus stand, another mast was equipped with two anemometers and a net radiometer (model CN-1, Australia). Net radiation was measured by the net radiometer positioned 2 m above the crop canopy. The heat flux into the soil was measured using two soil heat flux plates buried 2 cm below the soil surface. During two-layer gradient observations in 1995 and 1996, psychrometers and anemometers were positioned at heights of 0.5, 1.6 m or 0.5, 2 m above the maize or wheat canopy, respectively. The positions of two psychrometers were automatically exchanged every 5 min to avoid systematic errors on $\partial \theta$ and ∂W . During four-layer gradient observations in 1997, four psychrometers were positioned immovably at heights of 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 m above the crop canopy. All these sensors were controlled by a datalogger (model DT100, Australia). The measurements were conducted every 15 s and a group of mean values were logged every 5 min. All sensors used in the experiment were strictly calibrated. The resolution of temperature measured by the Bowen ratio instrument was 0.03 °C. The lowest wind velocity measured by anemometers was 0.25 m s^{-1} and the resolution was 0.1 m s^{-1} . During the period of observation, the fetch was in excess of 400 m so that flux measurements using micrometeorological techniques could be achieved above the ground.

2.3. Gas sampling and gas chromatograph analysis

Air samples were collected every two hours in the daytime and every four hours in the nighttime. Air samples at different heights were pumped through pipes into Tedlar bags simultaneously for 10 min. Airflow speeds controlled by flowmeters were approximately 0.5 L min⁻¹. The sampling system was proved to be airtight during the observation period.

Each air sample was sent to laboratory where it underwent at least 2 sequential analyses by gas chromatography (GC). The gas chromatography (HP 5890 Series II Plus) was equipped with a 63 Ni electron capture detector (ECD) and a stainless steel separation cylinder (diameter: 1/8 in, length: 6 in) with Porapak Q (80/100 mesh) inside. Argon + 5% CH₄ was used as the carrier gas. The working temperatures of cylinder and detector were 90 °C and 330 °C, respectively. Standard gas, with a concentration of 315 ppbv for N₂O (diluent gas was man-made air), was supplied from Max Planck Institut für Chemie, Germany. The equipment had a good linearity within the range of N₂O concentrations from 250 to 1000 ppbv.

Standard gas was analyzed by GC before and after the measurement of each air sample. In case the analytical error of N₂O concentration was found to be too large, the air sample was measured again until the result was satisfactory. For meteorological measurements, no valid N₂O concentration gradient could be found if the absolute N₂O concentration difference between top and bottom layers were less than their analytical error (see Section 3.2).

2.4. Theory for flux estimation

2.4.1. Aerodynamic technique

In surface layer, energy and mass transfer is restricted by the gradient of temperature, wind speed and gas concentration. According to aerodynamic theory, surface N₂O flux (F_a) could be estimated as follows (Hargreaves et al., 1994; Fowler and Duyzer, 1989):

$$F_{\rm a} = -\rho_{\rm a}k^2(z-d)^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \frac{k_{\rm n}}{k_{\rm m}} (\phi_{\rm m}\phi_{\rm n})^{-1}$$
(1)

where ρ_a is dry air density (g m⁻³); k von Karman's constant (0.42); z the observation height (m); d zero plane displacement (m); C mixing ratio of N₂O (ng g⁻¹). k_m and k_n is transfer coefficient for momentum and N₂O, respectively. ϕ_m and ϕ_n is stability function for momentum and N₂O transfer separately which could be determined by the equation as follows (Pruitt et al., 1973):

$$\phi_{\rm n} = \phi_{\rm m} = (1 - 16R_i)^{-1/3} \quad (R_i < 0)$$
 (2)

$$\phi_{\rm n} = \phi_{\rm m} = (1 + 16R_i)^{1/3} \quad (R_i > 0)$$
 (3)

In surface layer, Richardson number is defined as:

$$R_i = \frac{g}{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right)^{-2} \tag{4}$$

where θ is potential temperature (K), *g* acceleration due to gravity.

2.4.2. BREB method

The energy received by natural surfaces from solar radiation is balanced with the energy losing to the atmosphere through the transfer of sensible heat, latent heat and heat storage. The micrometeorological theory for N₂O flux measurements by Bowen ratio/energy balance method (BREB) was described in detail by Denmead (1983) as follows:

$$F_{\rm b} = \frac{R_{\rm n} - G}{c_{\rm p}(\gamma + 1)} \frac{\partial C}{\partial T_{\rm e}}$$
(5)

where $F_{\rm b}$ is the N₂O flux (µg m⁻² s⁻¹), $R_{\rm n}$ net radiation (W m⁻²), *G* soil heat flux (W m⁻²), $c_{\rm p}$ specific heat, γ psychrometric constant, $T_{\rm e}$ effective temperature (K), which can be given by:

$$T_{\rm e} = \theta + \frac{\lambda}{c_{\rm p}} \frac{W}{\gamma + 1} \tag{6}$$

where θ is potential temperature, λ latent heat (J kg⁻¹), *W* mixing ratio of vapor (g g⁻¹).

2.5. Data processing and quality control

For the micrometeorological measurement in uniform croplands, the suitable average sampling time is 10-60 min (Sun et al., 2005). To obtain more available N₂O concentration difference, 10 min was selected as the length of sampling time in our experiment. How to judge the available concentration difference was shown in Section 3.2. The appearance of error data was due to the malfunction of instruments, anthropogenic effects and the extreme weather condition. For instance, if a large positive Bowen ratio were obtained under a very dry weather condition or a negative one was found when advection prevailed in the field, BREB method would lead to great errors in flux estimation. When water vapor and heat flux were more than the normal range $(-200-800 \text{ W} \text{ m}^{-2})$, the corresponding N₂O flux should be eliminated. Some large outlyers remained after primary selection using above criteria will bring to significant influence on the mean flux. For each observation period, N₂O flux outside three standard deviations of the mean was regarded as abnormal one and discarded (Simpson et al., 1997).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Resolution of GC analysis

When using flux gradient techniques to measure N₂O flux, the accurate observations are needed for the gradients of temperature, humidity, wind speed and N₂O concentration. Since current resolutions of the sensors for temperature, humidity and wind speed are satisfied with the requirement of gradient observations, the precision of N₂O flux is actually determined by the resolution of N₂O concentration analyzed by gas chromatography. To avoid the base line of ECD output shifting with varying temperature, the difference approach (Arah et al., 1994) was used for GC analysis: two repetitions for each gas sample coupled with two repetitions for standard gas, one before

the gas sample, the other after. N₂O concentration for each gas sample was calculated using the N₂O peak area of sampling gas and standard gas. Arah et al. (1994) reported that ten to fifteen repeated analyses gave resolutions around 1 ppbv for N₂O. Increasing the replicate injections could decline N₂O analytical errors. However, as the capacity of the instrument was limited by the speed of GC analysis for gas samples, the observation could not last for a long time when the GC analysis for gas samples was slower than the gas sampling. In our experiment, when only two replicate injections were made in GC analysis, the high resolution of N₂O concentration achieved to 0.27–0.46 ppbv, with a mean of 0.36 ppbv. It was able to resolve small N₂O concentration differences ranging from 0.39 to 0.65 ppbv.

To obtain more available N₂O concentration gradients, the observations were done only at low wind speed and/ or after fertilization. During observation periods, the average wind velocities were less than 2 m s⁻¹ at a height of 2 m above the canopy. The absolute N₂O concentration differences ranged from 0 to 20 ppbv and more than 80% of the absolute values were lower than 2 ppbv, which was very close to the detection limit of GC. Although a high resolution of GC analysis for N₂O was obtained, about 1/3 of the data were rejected because the N₂O concentration differences were too low and within the range of GC analytical errors.

3.2. N₂O concentration gradient

 N_2O concentration gradient $(\Delta C/\varDelta z)$ is defined as the variation of N_2O concentration per unit distance in the vertical direction. It is positive when N_2O concentration decline with the increasing height. For two-layer measurement, N_2O concentration difference is simply calculated as follows:

$$\Delta C = C_2 - C_1 \tag{7}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are the measured N₂O concentrations in high and low layer, respectively. For multi-layer measurement, N₂O concentration difference (ΔC) between top and bottom layer is given by the equation as follows:

$$\Delta C = C_{s,n} - C_{s,1} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \ln z} (\ln z_1 - \ln z_n)$$
(8)

where $\partial C/\partial \ln z$ is the slope of N₂O concentration versus a logarithmic vertical height; $C_{s,1}$ and $C_{s,n}$ are the simulated N₂O concentrations in top and bottom layer, respectively. z_1 and z_n are the heights of top and bottom layer, separately. Fig. 1 give an example of N₂O concentration profiles above the cropland surface. The analytical error for N₂O concentration difference (C_e) is calculated as follows:

$$C_{\rm e} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{\rm e,i}}{\sqrt{n}} \tag{9}$$

where $C_{e,i}$ is the analytical error of N₂O concentration in the *i* layer; *n* the number of the layer. When absolute N₂O concentration difference between top and bottom layers is higher than its analytical error $(|\Delta C| \ge C_e)$, it is available and involved in flux calculation. Conversely, N₂O



concentration difference should be rejected and can't be used in analysis.

One or two weeks after fertilization, absolute N2O concentration gradient ranged from 0 to 9.96 ppbv m^{-1} in maize field, with a mean of 1.58 ppbv m^{-1} ; from 0 to 12.36 ppbv m^{-1} in wheat field, with a mean of 1.78 ppby m^{-1} . It was higher in the nighttime than in the daytime. Three or four weeks after fertilization, the mean absolute N₂O concentration gradient decreased to two third or half of the former value and its daily difference reduced, too (Table 1 and Fig. 2). During observation periods in maize field, average wind speed was about $1-1.3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at the height of 2 m above the canopy. The amount of available N2O concentration difference was mainly affected by fertilization at low wind speed. From one week to one month after fertilization, the proportion of available N₂O concentration difference reduced from 77% to 54%. During observation periods in wheat field, average wind speed was 1.9 m s^{-1} at the height of 2 m above the canopy. The number of measurable N₂O concentration differences was reduced when wind velocity increased. No influence of fertilization on N2O concentration differences was found under windy conditions.

When gradient observation changed from two layers to four layers, nearly $2/3 N_2O$ concentration gradients reduced and about 1/3 changed their directions (Fig. 2). It was due to different footprints (source areas) for two-layer and fourlayer observations. The mean values and variability of absolute N_2O concentration gradients for four-layer observations were obviously less than two-layer's (Table 1). Considering height difference between top and bottom layers changed from 1.5 to 3.5 m, N_2O concentration differences for two kinds of measurements were similar. Nevertheless, changing from two-layer observation to four-layer's, the analytical errors of N_2O concentration difference enlarged 1.4 times (Eq. (9)), making the proportion of available N_2O concentration difference decrease from 78% to 48%.

In maize and wheat fields, many negative N_2O concentration gradients were obtained for both of two-layer and four-layer observations. Negative N_2O concentration



Table 1

N₂O flux and gradient measured using micrometeorological methods over a wheat/maize rotation system

Plots	Date	Days after fertilization	Measurement method	Observation layers	N ₂ O flux ^a (mgN ₂ O m ⁻² h ⁻¹)	Absolute N ₂ O gradient ^a (ppbv m ⁻¹)
Maize field	July 27-August 1, 1995	6-11	Aerodynamic	2	$\textbf{0.359} \pm \textbf{0.293}$	1.58 ± 0.31
	July 27–August 1, 1995	6–11	BREB	2	$\textbf{0.299} \pm \textbf{0.238}$	1.58 ± 0.31
	August 17–21, 1995	27-31	Aerodynamic	2	$\textbf{0.668} \pm \textbf{0.339}$	$\textbf{0.67} \pm \textbf{0.11}$
	August 17–21, 1995	27-31	BREB	2	$\textbf{0.305} \pm \textbf{0.339}$	0.67 ± 0.11
	August 9–12, 1997	27-30	BREB	2	-0.753 ± 0.393^{b}	1.02 ± 0.15^{b}
	August 9–12, 1997	27-30		4		0.42 ± 0.07^{b}
Wheat field	October 15-20, 1995	13–17	BREB	2	$\textbf{0.778} \pm \textbf{0.123}$	$\textbf{1.78} \pm \textbf{0.47}$
	May 4-10, 1996		Aerodynamic	2	$\textbf{0.293} \pm \textbf{0.140}$	$\textbf{0.53}\pm\textbf{0.08}$
	May 4-10, 1996		BREB	2	$\textbf{0.257} \pm \textbf{0.238}$	$\textbf{0.53}\pm\textbf{0.08}$
	October 27–28 and	22–23 and 30–31	BREB	2	$\textbf{0.276} \pm \textbf{0.419}$	$\textbf{0.84}\pm\textbf{0.13}$
	November 4-5, 1997					
	October 27–28 and	22–23 and 30–31	BREB	4	$\textbf{0.307} \pm \textbf{0.280}$	0.31 ± 0.06
	November 4-5, 1997					

 $^{\rm a}~$ Average \pm standard error.

^b Only daytime.



Fig. 2. N_2O concentration gradients above maize (a, b and c) and wheat (d, e and f) fields. Square: 2-layer N_2O gradient; Plus: 4-layer N_2O gradient. Positive sign means N_2O concentration decline with the increasing height.

gradients were often found above the canopy during growing seasons but seldom appeared above the bare soil (Fig. 2). It is implied that negative N_2O concentration gradient may be related to the vegetation.

3.3. N₂O flux

During observation periods, N₂O flux varied from -4.41 to $4.84 \text{ mgN}_2\text{O}\text{ m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$ in maize field, from -2.82 to $3.59 \text{ mgN}_2\text{O}\text{ m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$ in the wheat field (Figs. 3 and 4). It had a larger range than the results reported by Skiba et al. (1996) $(-1.4-3.2 \text{ mgN}_2\text{O}\text{ m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1})$ and Griffith and Galle (2000) $(-0.3-0.9 \text{ mgN}_2\text{O}\text{ m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1})$ in wheat fields. Compared to half-hourly mean fluxes presented by Skiba et al. (1996) and Griffith and Galle (2000), 10-min mean fluxes were measured in our experiments. Short average time may lead to a large temporal variation of N₂O flux (Laville et al., 1999).

From middle October to early November, mean N₂O flux obtained by the BREB method in wheat field decreased from 0.778 mgN₂O m⁻² h⁻¹ two weeks after fertilization to 0.290 mgN₂O m⁻² h⁻¹ three-four weeks after fertilization (Table 1). Whereas, in maize field, there were more negative N₂O fluxes one week after fertilization than one month after fertilization. This led to higher mean N₂O flux one month after fertilization than one week after fertilization.

On average, N₂O flux determined by aerodynamic method (F_a) was higher than by BREB method (F_b) (Table 1). Nevertheless, Comparing F_a with corresponding F_b , we

found they were in agreement statistically (Fig. 5). The frequency of F_a showed a normal distribution with a peak occurred at 0–0.5 mgN₂O m⁻² h⁻¹. The frequency of F_b showed a double-peak distribution with the maximal peak at -0.5-0.5 mgN₂O m⁻² h⁻¹ and the second at 1–1.5 mgN₂O m⁻² h⁻¹. 3/4 of N₂O fluxes obtained by both methods appeared at -1-1.5 mgN₂O m⁻² h⁻¹ (Fig. 6). 33% of F_a and 44% of F_b were negative. It resulted in a lower mean N₂O flux measured by the BREB method than by the aerodynamic method.

In two-layer measurements in maize field, temporal variation of N_2O flux was large because the distance between two layers was small (1.1 m) (Table 1 and Fig. 3). When gradient observation changed from two layers to four layers, mean N_2O flux changed less, but the temporal variability reduced clearly (Table 1 and Fig. 4). The measured N_2O flux became more stable than before. Although absolute N_2O concentration gradients for four-layer observations were small averagely than two-layer observations, their analytical errors were large. N_2O flux remain high because only large N_2O concentration gradients for four-layer observations are small averagely than two-layer observations, their analytical errors were large. N_2O flux remain high because only large N_2O concentration gradients were kept as the available one and used in flux calculation.

3.4. The impact of energy closure on N₂O flux

To verify the data quality of N₂O flux, energy budget of the aerodynamic measurements was investigated. The turbulence energy flux ($H + \lambda E$) observed by the aerodynamic approach was 73% and 67% of the available energy



Fig. 3. N₂O fluxes measured using aerodynamic method (plus) and energy balance method (square) in a summer maize field in 1995 (a, b) and in a winter wheat field in 1995 (c) and 1996 (d). Positive sign indicates upward flux, i.e. emission from the cropland. The upright broken line means 0:00.



Fig. 4. N₂O fluxes measured by the energy balance method in maize (a) and wheat (b, c) fields in 1997. Square: two layer observation; Triangle: four layer observation. Positive sign indicates upward flux, i.e. emission from the cropland.

flux (R_n-G) in the maize field and the wheat field, respectively (Fig. 7). The difference between F_a and F_b may be caused by the imbalance of energy. The status of energy closure can be described by energy balance ratio (EBR) as follows:

$$EBR = \frac{H + \lambda E}{R_n - G}$$
(10)

Since EBR obtained by the aerodynamic method was unstable in the evening, early morning and late afternoon, the impact of EBR on N₂O flux was only studied in the daytime when solar radiation was larger than 100 W m⁻². When EBR was small, the ratio of F_a to F_b changed largely and no obvious relationship between F_a/F_b and EBR could be found (data not shown). When EBR was bigger than 0.3, F_a/F_b increased linearly with EBR in maize and wheat fields. The slope of F_a/F_b to EBR was closed to 1 (Fig. 8a). Good linear relationship between F_a/F_b and EBR showed a possible way to improve the quality of daytime N₂O flux by EBR approach.



Fig. 5. Comparison between N_2O fluxes measured by the aerodynamic method and the BREB method in wheat and maize fields.

Similar phenomena were found in eddy covariance measurements for CO_2 flux. Since underestimation of CO_2 flux may attribute to lack of energy closure, it was suggested that CO_2 flux could be simply scaled by the proportion of energy imbalance (Yamamoto et al., 1999; Twine et al., 2000; Saigusa et al., 2002). However, not all the causes of energy imbalance will affect trace gas fluxes. Wilson et al. (2002) concluded the reasons for lack of closure of the surface energy budget involved: (1) mismatch of the source areas between turbulence energy flux and available energy flux; (2) neglected energy sinks; (3) instrument bias; (4) high/low frequency loss; and (5) advection. Only the last three reasons may affect trace gas fluxes.

Generally, energy closure was better at high wind velocity (Mahrt, 1998). During observation periods, most of flux measurements were conducted under low wind conditions to get more available N₂O concentration gradient. The imbalance of energy obtained by the aerodynamic method was related to low wind speed. Both EBR and F_a/F_b were small at low friction velocity (u^*). They enhanced with increasing u^* and became more stable when u^* was bigger than 0.3 (Fig. 8b). It was suggested that lack of fully developed turbulence at low u^* was partly



Fig. 6. Frequency distribution of N_2O fluxes measured by the aerodynamic method (empty column) and BREB method (black column) in a wheat/maize rotation system.



Fig. 7. Closure of available energy $(R_n - G)$ and turbulent energy $(H + \lambda E)$ measured by aerodynamic method in maize (a) and wheat (b) fields.

responsible for lack of energy closure (Blanken et al., 1997), which result in underestimation of F_{a} .

On the other hand, when energy imbalance for aerodynamic measurements arise from different source areas between available energy flux and turbulence energy flux, forcing energy closure to estimate turbulence flux (BREB method) may lead to large bias on $F_{\rm b}$. Under this situation, we could not consider F_a wrong for its lack closure of energy, and furthermore, revise it by the proportion of energy imbalance. When F_b is used as a reference for F_a , correcting F_a by EBR approach depends on the accurate measurement for available energy flux. Mismatch of the source areas between turbulence energy flux and available energy flux may have little influence on observed energy balance in a homogeneous surface, but have a significant impact on energy closure in a heterogeneous surface. In our experiments, the cropland was a homogeneous surface for most of time. $F_{\rm b}$ was generally a reliable reference for $F_{\rm a}$ and the imbalance of energy would lead to large bias in the estimation of F_a .

3.5. Negative N₂O flux

In our study, many negative N_2O fluxes were obtained by aerodynamic and BREB methods in maize and wheat fields even after fertilization (Figs. 3 and 4). It is similar to the phenomenon reported by Skiba et al. (1996). All negative flux by aerodynamic method and most of negative flux by BREB method were due to downward N₂O gradient (Fig. 9), not due to the gradients of temperature, humidity or wind speed. Few negative flux obtained by BREB method may due to advection or storage effects. In gradient observation, the area of footprint varies with the change of wind speed and direction. High spatial variability of soil N2O emission (Ambus and Christensen, 1994) and variable wind led to a great temporal variability of N₂O flux measured by the micrometeorological methods. To get more available N₂O concentration difference, gas-sampling time in our experiment was 10 min. Short average time would bring to large temporal variation of N₂O flux. Laville et al. (1999) reported that the temporal variation of N₂O flux reduced obviously when average time changed from 15 min to half hour. Average time prolonged from 10 min to 30 min or more might smooth the extreme values away and reduce the temporal variability of N₂O flux. If mean flux were positive, most of negative fluxes would disappear with the reducing temporal variability.

Di Marco et al. (2004) found many negative fluxes although the temporal variation of N_2O flux declined after the measurement time scale changed from an hour to



Fig 8. The relationship between F_a/F_b and EBR (a), F_a/F_b and EBR changed with frection velocity (u^*) (b) in wheat and maize fields. F_a/F_b (square) is the ratio of N₂O fluxes measured by aerodynamic method and BREB method. EBR (plus) is energy balance ratio calculated by aerodynamic method.



Fig. 9. Relationship between N₂O concentration difference and N₂O flux measured by the aerodynamic (a) and BREB method (b) in wheat and maize fields.

a day. In our study, a mean daytime N2O flux of $-0.753 \text{ mg } N_2 \text{O } \text{m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ was obtained in maize field in August 9–12, 1997 (Table 1). It could not be simply explained by the change of time scale. Based on two years' observation in grassland, Neftel et al. (2007) reported that nearly half of the eddy N₂O flux was negative. It may attribute to soil uptake because negative N2O flux was also found in corresponding chamber-based measurements. Some chamber-based measurements showed considerable N_2O sinks (-3.2--17.4 µgN₂O m⁻² h⁻¹) during sink periods in grassland (Ryden, 1981; Donoso et al., 1993; Flechard et al., 2005) and forest (Donoso et al., 1993; Papen et al., 2001). N₂O absorption by soils is a microbial process involving reduction of N₂O to N₂. It is promoted by anaerobic condition and by organic substances that facilitate growth of soil microorganisms, and it is retarded by nitrate (Blackmer and Bremner, 1976). Wet condition generally benefits to soil N₂O consumption. However, in our study, negative mean N₂O flux was observed in maize field under dry condition. Similar phenomena were found in savannah and bare soil during the dry season (Donoso et al., 1993; Wagner-Riddle et al., 1996). The mechanism of N₂O consumption by dry soils is not fully understood by now.

4. Conclusions

In our experiments, the mean precision of two repeated GC analyses for N₂O concentration achieved to 0.27–0.46 ppbv, which could resolve N₂O concentration differences in a low range of 0.39–0.65 ppbv. This enables us to measure N₂O flux using flux-gradient methods coupled with GC analysis. During observation periods, N₂O flux ranged from -4.41 to $4.84 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ in maize field, and from -2.82 to $3.59 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ in wheat field. When gradient observation changed from two layers to four layers, the temporal variation of N₂O flux reduced but the mean value changed less. Many negative N₂O flux was found in wheat and maize fields even after fertilization. Nearly all the negative N₂O fluxes were caused by negative N₂O concentration differences. During four days' observation in maize field, a mean N₂O flux of

 $-0.753 \text{ mg } N_2 \text{O m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ was found in the daytime and could not be simply attributed to the temporal variation of N₂O flux. N₂O flux determined by the aerodynamic method (F_a) and the Bowen ratio/energy balance method $(F_{\rm b})$ were in agreement statistically. The ratio of $F_{\rm a}$ to $F_{\rm b}$ increased linearly with EBR obtained by the aerodynamic method in the daytime when EBR was larger than 0.3. It is the first time to describe the impact of energy closure on N₂O flux quantitatively. The results may help improve data quality by EBR approach. Limited by the analytical precision of GC, N₂O flux measured by flux gradient methods were only conducted under low wind conditions or after fertilization. With the development of highresolution and fast-response trace gas analysis system (e.g. TDLAS and FTIR), the analytical precision and efficiency for N₂O concentration were improved largely and make it possible to carry out long-term N₂O flux measurement at field scales.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (30590381-04), Knowledge Innovation Project of Chinese Academy of Sciences (KJCX2-YW-432-1), Chinese Academy of Sciences International Partnership Project "Human Activities and Ecosystem Changes" (CXTD-Z2005) and Knowledge Innovation Project of Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences (066U0607SZ).

References

- Ambus, P., Christensen, S., 1994. Measurement of N₂O emission from a fertilized grassland: an analysis of spatial variability. Journal of Geophysical Research 99 (D8), 16,549–16,555.
- Arah, J.R.M., Crichton, I.J., Smith, K.A., Clayton, H., Skiba, U., 1994. Automated gas chromatographic analysis system for micrometeorological measurements of trace gas fluxes. Journal of Geophysical Research 99 (D8), 16,593–16,598.
- Blackmer, A.M., Bremner, J.M., 1976. Potential of soil as a sink for atmospheric nitrous oxide. Geophysical Research Letters 3 (12), 739–742.

- Blanken, P.D., Black, T.A., Yang, P.C., Neumann, H.H., Nesic, Z., Staebler, R., den Hartog, G., Novak, M.D., Lee, X., 1997. Energy balance and canopy conductance of a boreal aspen forest: partitioning overstory and understory components. Journal of Geophysical Research 102 (D24), 28,915–28,927.
- Christensen, S., Ambus, P., Arah, J.R.M., Clayton, H., Galle, B., Griffith, D.W.T., Hargreaves, K.J., Klemedtsson, L., Lind, A.M., Maag, M., Scott, A., Skiba, U., Smith, K.A., Welling, M., Wienhold, F.G., 1996. Nitrous oxide emission from an agricultural field: comparison between measurements by flux chamber and micrometeorological techniques. Atmospheric Environment 30 (24), 4183–4190.
- Crutzen, P.J., 1970. The influence of nitrogen oxides on the atmospheric ozone content. Quarterly Journal of Royal Meteorology Society 96, 320–325.
- Denmead, O.T., 1983. Micrometeorological methods for measuring gaseous losses of nitrogen in the field. In: Freney, J.R., Simpson, J.R. (Eds.), Gaseous Loss of Nitrogen from Plant-Soil System. Nijhoff Junk Publisher, The Hague, pp. 133–156.
- Di Marco, C., Skiba, U., Weston, K., Hargreaves, K., Fowler, D., 2004. Field scale N₂O flux measurements from grassland using eddy covariance. Water. Air and Soil Pollution: Focus 4, 143–149.
- Ding, G., Liu, J., Yan, P., Wang, M., Wang, S., Cheng, H., Meng, Z., Cheng, Y., Lu, C., Yu, H., Wen, D., Xu, J., 2004. Preliminary results of N₂O flux measurement in low atmosphere at rice paddy in Changshu using conditional sampling. Journal of Applied Meteorological Science 15 (4), 456–467 (in Chinese).
- Donoso, L., Santana, R., Sanhueza, E., 1993. Seasonal variation of N_2O fluxes at a tropical savannah site: soil consumption of N_2O during the dry season. Geophysical Research Letters 20 (13), 1379–1382.
- Flechard, C.R., Neftel, A., Jocher, M., Ammann, C., Fuhrer, J., 2005. Bidirectional soil/atmosphere N₂O exchange over two mown grassland systems with contrasting management practices. Global Change Biology 11, 2114–2127.
- Fowler, D., Duyzer, J.H., 1989. Micrometeorological technique for the measurement of trace gas exchange. In: Andreae, M.O., Schimel, D. S. (Eds.), Exchange of Trace Gas between Terrestrial Ecosystems and Atmosphere, pp. 189–207.
- Fowler, D., Hargreaves, K.J., Skiba, U., Milne, R., Zahniser, M.S., Moncrieff, J. B., Beverland, I.J., Gallagher, M.W., 1995. Measurements of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes at the landscape scale using micrometeorological methods. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London 351, 339–356.
- Griffith, D.W.T., Galle, B., 2000. Flux measurements of NH₃, N₂O and CO₂ using dual beam FTIR spectroscopy and the flux-gradient technique. Atmospheric Environment 34, 1087–1098.
- Griffith, D.W.T., Leuning, R., Denmead, O.T., Jamie, I.M., 2002. Air-land exchanges of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O measured by FTIR spectrometry and micrometeorological techniques. Atmospheric Environment 36, 1833–1842.
- Hargreaves, K.J., Skiba, U., Fowler, D., Arah, J., Wienhold, F.G., Klemedtsson, L., Galle, B., 1994. Measurement of nitrous oxide emission from fertilized grassland using micrometeorological techniques. Journal of Geophysical Research 99 (D8), 16,569– 16,574.
- Hargreaves, K.J., Wienhold, F.G., Klemedtsson, L., Arah, J.R.M., Beverland, I. J., Fowler, D., Galle, B., Griffith, D.W.T., Skiba, U., Smith, K.A., Welling, M., Harris, G.W., 1996. Measurement of nitrous oxide emission from agricultural land using micrometeorological methods. Atmospheric Environment 30 (10/11), 1563–1571.
- IPCC, 2001. In: Hunghton, J.T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D.J., Noguer, M., Vandeer Linden, P.J., Dai, X., Maskell, K., Johnson, C.A. (Eds.), Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,UK.
- Laville, P., Jambert, C., Cellier, P., Delmas, R., 1999. Nitrous oxide fluxes from a fertilized maize crop using micrometeorological and chamber methods. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 96, 19–38.
- Li, J., Yu, H., Yu, Q., Xie, X., 2002. Analysis on methods of flux measurement of nitrous oxide from a crop field. Earth Science Frontiers 9 (2), 377–385 (in Chinese).
- Maggiotto, S.R., Wagner-Riddle, C., 2001. Winter and spring thaw measurements of N₂O, NO and NO_x fluxes using a micrometeorological method. Water, Air, and Pollution: Focus 1, 89–98.
- Mahrt, L., 1998. Flux sampling errors for aircraft and towers. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 15, 416–429.
- Mosier, A.R., Heinemeyer, O., 1985. Current methods used to estimate N₂O and N₂ emissions from field soils. In: Golterman, H.I. (Ed.), Denitrification in the Nitrogen Cycle, pp. 79–99.

- Neftel, A., Flechard, C., Ammann, C., Conen, F., Emmenegger, L., Zeyer, K., 2007. Experimental assessment of N₂O background fluxes in grassland systems. Tellus 59 (B), 470–482.
- Papen, H., Daum, M., Steinkamp, R., et al., 2001. N₂O and CH₄-fluxes from soils of a N-limited and N-fertilized spruce forest ecosystem of the temperate zone. Journal of Applied Botany 75, 159–163.
- Pattey, E., Strachan, I.B., Desjardins, R.L., Edwards, G.C., Dow, D., MacPherson, J.I., 2006. Application of a tunable diode laser to the measurement of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes from field to landscape scale using several micrometeorological techniques. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 136, 222–236.
- Phillips, F.A., Leuning, R., Baigent, R., Kelly, K.B., Denmead, O.T., 2007. Nitrous oxide flux measurements from an intensively managed irrigated pasture using micrometeorological techniques. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 143, 92–105.
- Pihlatie, M., Rinne, J., Ambus, P., Pilegaard, K., Dorsey, J.R., Rannik, Ü., Markkanen, T., Launiainen, S., Vesala, T., 2005. Nitrous oxide emissions from a beech forest floor measured by eddy covariance and soil enclosure techniques. Biogeosciences 2, 377–387.
- Pruitt, W.O., Morgan, D.L., Lourence, F.J., 1973. Momentum and mass transfer in the surface boundary layer. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 99, 370–386.
- Ryden, J.C., 1981. N₂O exchange between a grassland soil and the atmosphere. Nature 292 (5820), 235–237.
- Saigusa, N., Yamamoto, S., Murayama, S., Kondo, H., Nishimura, N., 2002. Gross primary production and net ecosystem exchange of a cool-temperate deciduous forest estimated by the eddy covariance method. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 112, 203–215.
- Simpson, I.J., Edwards, G.C., Thurtell, G.W., den Hartog, G., Neumann, H.H., Staebler, R.M., 1997. Micrometeorological measurements of methane and nitrous oxide exchange above a boreal aspen forest. Journal of Geophysical Research 102 (D24), 29,331–29,341.
- Simpson, I.J., Edwards, G.C., Thurtell, G.W., 1999. Variations in methane and nitrous oxide mixing ratios at the southern boundary of a Canadian boreal forest. Atmospheric Environment 33, 1141–1150.
- Skiba, U., Hargreaves, K.J., Beverland, I.J., Oneill, D.H., Fowler, D., Moncrieff, J.B., 1996. Measurement of field scale N₂O emission fluxes from a wheat crop using micrometeorological techniques. Plant and Soil 181, 139–144.
- Smith, K.A., Clayton, H., Arah, J.R.M., Christensen, S., Ambus, P., Fowler, D., Hargreaves, K.J., Skiba, U., Harris, G.W., Wienhold, F.G., Klemedtsson, L., Galle, B., 1994. Micrometeorological and chamber methods for measurement of nitrous oxide fluxes between soil and the atmosphere: overview and conclusions. Journal of Geophysical Research 99 (D8), 16,541–16,548.
- Sun, X., Zhu, Z., Xu, J., Yuan, G., Zhou, Y., Zhang, R., 2005. Determination of averaging period parameter and its effects analysis for eddy covariance measurements. Science In China (Series D) 48 (Suppl. 1), 33–41.
- Twine, T.E., Kustas, W.P., Norman, J.M., Cook, D.R., Houser, P.R., Meyers, T.P., Prueger, J.H., Starks, P.J., Wesely, M.L., 2000. Correcting eddy-covariance flux underestimates over a grassland. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 103, 279–300.
- Verma, A.B., Baldocchi, D.D., Anderson, D.E., Matt, D.R., Clement, R.J., 1986. Eddy fluxes of CO₂, water vapor, and sensible heat over a deciduous forest. Boundary-layer Meteorology 36, 71–91.
- Wagner-Riddle, C., Thurtell, G.W., King, K.M., Kidd, G.E., Beauchamp, E.G., 1996. Nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide fluxes from a bare soil using a micrometeorological approach. Journal of Environmental Quality 25, 898–907.
- Wienhold, F.G., Frahm, G.H., Harris, G.W., 1994. Measurements of N₂O fluxes from fertilized grassland using a fast response tunable diode laser spectrometer. Journal of Geophysical Research 99 (D8), 16,557–16,567.
- Wienhold, F.G., Welling, M., Harris, G.W., 1995. Micrometeorological measurement and source region analysis of nitrous oxide fluxes from an agricultural soil. Atmospheric Environment 29 (17), 2219–2227.
- Wilson, K., Goldstein, A., Falge, E., Aubinet, M., Baldocchi, D., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Ceulemans, R., Dolman, H., Field, C., Grelle, A., Ibrom, A., Law, B.E., Kowalski, A., Meyers, T., Moncrieff, J., Monson, R., Oechel, W., Tenhunen, J., Valentini, R., Verma, S., 2002. Energy balance closure at FLUXNET sites. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 113, 223–243.
- Yamamoto, S., Murayama, S., Saigusa, N., Kondo, H., 1999. Seasonal and inter-annual variation of CO₂ flux between a temperature forest and the atmosphere in Japan. Tellus 51B, 402–413.